

Amy Yee Tennis Center – Public Involvement Summary

This chart outlines the public input and Parks and Recreation decision making steps for this project. It does not include permitting or environmental review steps.

INPUT AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Summer
	'06						'07			'07
Pro View – Internal Parks review of Design Program.	*									
Project Steering –Internal Parks Review & Approval of Public Involvement plan		*								
Meetings with Amy Yee Tennis Center Advisory Council							*	*		
Pro View – Internal Parks review and feedback on Schematic Design									*	
Community Meeting – Presentation of the Design Community Input. – Provide input on the Design									3/15	
Pro View Technical Review – Internal Technical Review of 65% Construction Document for Existing Courts									*	
Construction for Existing Courts Renovation										*

Milestone and decisions made to date:

- o Park's internal review (ProView) approved design program in July, 2006 with conditions.
- o Project Steering Committee approved design program / public involvement plan in August, 2006 with narrowed scope of work.
- o Park's internal review (ProView) approved schematic design for this project on March 6, 2007.

• Public process conducted on the project:

- o Park staff met with Amy Yee Tennis Center Advisory Council to discuss this project.
- o A public meeting is recommended in the design program and will be held on March.
- Public input will be incorporate into design or construction considerations. Opinions are advisory to the Parks decision making process that includes internal technical review where Park policies, procedures and standards are considered.

Who Parks notified about the meeting and the sources of the list:

- o Parks sent meeting notification to carrier routes within ¼ mile from the site.
- Parks notified the Amy Yee Tennis Center Advisory Council of this meeting.
- o Park posted a notice at the site, on the Project Web site and sent a press release to newspaper.

Factors that may have an impact on the outcome, including:

- o Safety Issues / Maintenance Issues The new tennis courts must be accessible for users and maintenance needs.
- o An existing adopted plan The original master plan in 1976 shows eight tennis courts on the upper terrace area.
- Budget limitations All costs for design, construction and project management must be paid from the project funds. Decisions on the scope of the project, and materials may be limited by the budget.

After the public involvement process is over, Parks will make a decision and proceed to implement it.